*Combination of theoretical and in-situ experimental investigations of the role of lithium dopant in manganese nitride: a two-stage reagent for ammonia synthesis*

Said Laassiria,b\*, Constantinos D. Zeinalipour-YazdiC, Nicolas Biond, Richard A. Catlowe and Justin S. J. Hargreaves.b

**Abstract**. Manganese nitride related materials are of interest as two-stage reagents for ammonia synthesis via nitrogen chemical looping. However, unless doped with a co-cation, manganese nitrides are thermochemically stable and high temperature is required to produce ammonia under reducing conditions, thereby hindering their use as nitrogen transfer materials. Nevertheless, when lithium is used as dopant, ammonia generation can be observed at a reaction temperature as low as 300°C. In order to develop strategies for the improvement of the reactivity of nitride materials in the context of two-stage reagents, it is necessary to understand the intrinsic role of the dopant in the mechanism of ammonia synthesis. To this end, we have investigated the role of lithium in increasing the manganese nitride reactivity by in-situ neutron diffraction studies and N2 and H2 isotopic exchange reactions supplemented by DFT calculations.

1. Introduction

In the design of a novel generation of materials for ammonia synthesis, metal nitrides have significant potential for the development of very active catalysts that could operate under less severe conditions than the conventional Haber Bosch Process. While the mechanism of ammonia synthesis for nitride based materials is still not unequivocally elucidated, lattice nitrogen mobility and reactivity has been demonstrated in a number of nitride materials including mono- and bimetallic nitrides such as Ta3N5, Mg3N2 and Co3Mo3N, leading to the possibility of ammonia production through pathways based upon the Mars-van Krevelen (MvK) mechanism.[1-4](#_ENREF_1) In this mechanism, part of the lattice nitrogen can react directly with dihydrogen to produce ammonia resulting in the generation of nitrogen vacancies that can activate N2 to complete the cycle.

Over the years, oxide materials operating via the MvK mechanism have inspired the development of chemical looping technology for combustion reactions. The idea is based upon separating the reaction into different steps where the combustion stage occurs in the absence of dioxygen wherein the oxygen required for the reaction is provided by an oxide-based material. The oxygen-depleted material is subsequently regenerated in a second reaction step. The possibility to deconstruct processes into multiple sub-reactions conducted under different conditions (such as temperature, pressure and gas composition) offers advantages in the design of looping materials when compared to catalytic material design. Considering the thermodynamic limitation regarding the ammonia synthesis reaction, the application of the chemical looping principle in ammonia synthesis presents an interesting alternative to the Haber Bosch Process. In a similar manner to the oxide based chemical looping processes, ammonia synthesis can be deconstructed into sub-reactions undertaken in the presence of a nitride material acting as a nitrogen transfer agent, where the nitride can be reduced in a first step to produce ammonia and its resultant nitrogen deficiency replenished in a second step using N2.[5](#_ENREF_5), [6](#_ENREF_6) The sub-reactions could be conducted under different conditions which could alleviate some of the limitations related to the adsorption and dissociation step of dinitrogen in the catalytic cycle. Amongst materials that can act as nitrogen transfer materials, manganese has attracted a degree of attention due to its ability to activate molecular dinitrogen at moderate temperature and ambient pressure to form a range of nitrides with different crystalline structure and stoichiometry including Mn4N, Mn3N2 and Mn6N5+x.[7](#_ENREF_7), [8](#_ENREF_8) Furthermore, previous studies have shown that the lattice nitrogen in manganese nitrideis both labile and reactive under reducing conditions.[5](#_ENREF_5), [9](#_ENREF_9) When manganese nitride is reacted with hydrogen at ambient pressure, ammonia is produced. The possibility of manganese based systems to “breathe-in” nitrogen and ‘breath-out” ammonia by simply cycling between different reaction environments at ambient pressure offers an interesting route for the development of alternative approaches for ammonia generation under milder reaction conditions. However, the thermochemical stability of manganese nitride and its apparent low selectivity towards ammonia generation hinders its

1. Univ. Lille, CNRS, ENSCL, Centrale Lille, Univ. Artois, UMR 8181 - UCCS - Unité de Catalyse et Chimie du Solide, F-59000, Lille, France
2. WestCHEM, School of Chemistry, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, UK
3. School of Science, University of Greenwich, Central Avenue, Chatham Maritime, Kent ME4 4TB, UK
4. Institut de Chimie des Milieux et Matériaux de Poitiers (IC2MP), University of Poitiers, CNRS, 4 rue Michel Brunet, TSA51106, F86073 Poitiers Cedex 9, France.
5. Department of Chemistry, University College London, 20 Gordon Street, London, WC1H 0AJ, UK

application as a nitrogen transfer material. In order to improve the reactivity of nitride materials, we have demonstrated that doping with small amounts of lithium containing dopant results in high reactivity towards hydrogen and at a reaction temperature as low as 300°C.[5](#_ENREF_5) A related effect has been reported in the catalytic ammonia synthesis reaction where the addition of LiH as co-catalyst to transition metal-based materials facilitated the production of ammonia at 350°C.[10](#_ENREF_10)

Historically, the development of highly active catalysts for ammonia synthesis is closely related to the use of suitable dopants to enhance performance. For example, in the case of promoted iron catalysts, Al2O3 is used as a structure maintaining agent. The difference in terms of accessible surface area between promoted and bare iron catalysts is twenty-fold. However, the role of dopants is not only restricted to structural modification, but also electronic properties. In the case of the industrial ammonia catalyst, the addition of K2O in the doubly promoted iron catalyst considerably increases catalytic activity at higher pressure when compared to the singly promoted catalyst.[11](#_ENREF_11) In the context of the Ru based catalyst system, which is very active for ammonia generation at low pressure, Density Functional Theory (DFT) indicated that the addition of Cs+ on the Ru(0001) surface reduced the N2 dissociation barrier and the coverage of other intermediates along the reaction path.[11](#_ENREF_11) However, to the current authors’ knowledge, the role of lithium doping in both catalytic and chemical looping ammonia synthesis is not yet clearly understood.

In order to investigate the origin of the increase of manganese reactivity upon lithium doping, a series of *in-situ* neutron diffraction studies and N2 and H2 isotopic exchange reactions were performed and were supplemented by DFT calculations. Initially, a series of *in-situ* neutron diffraction studies was conducted to provide information on the structural evolution of lithium doped and non-doped manganese nitride materials under reducing reaction conditions. Furthermore, the role of lithium in the process of hydrogen and nitrogen activation was studied by isotopic exchange reactions, while detailed DFT calculations provide insight into the energetic aspect of the interaction between the reactant and the surface in presence and absence of the dopant.

2. Methods and Materials

2.1 Experimental Methods

2.1.1 Material synthesis***.*** The synthesis procedures applied for the preparation of undoped and Li-doped manganese nitrides have been described in detail elsewhere.[5](#_ENREF_5), [12](#_ENREF_12) In summary, 0.3 g of Mn2O3 (Sigma Aldrich, 99%) and 1.0 g of NaNH2 (Sigma Aldrich, 98%) were loaded into a Teflon-lined steel autoclave inside a nitrogen-filled glovebox. The autoclave was tightly closed and kept in an oven at 240 °C for 36 h. The product was then collected by filtration, washed with ethanol and water, and dried overnight at room temperature. For the preparation of Li doped manganese nitride, first an oxide precursor was prepared by a conventional co-precipitation route, using aqueous ammonia as precipitation agent followed by calcination under static air at 800 °C for 8 h. The nitride phase was then prepared in a similar manner to the non-doped manganese nitride. The results of structural and textural characterisation have been presented previously.[5](#_ENREF_5)

2.1.2 Isotopic Exchange Experiments. Isotopic exchange experiments were performed in an apparatus described elsewhere.[13](#_ENREF_13)In summary, the system comprised of a closed-loop reactor coupled to a mass spectrometer for monitoring of the evolution of gas phase composition. A recirculating pump was connected to the system to minimise gas phase diffusion limitations. Temperature-programmed isotopic exchange and isothermal isotopic exchange experiments were undertaken on 100 mg of the manganese nitride materials. Prior to the isotopic exchange experiments, samples were subjected to an in-situ 3:1 H2:N2 activation step at 700 °C for 1 h.

***Nitrogen activation process***. The study of the nitrogen activation process was performed using labeled nitrogen 15N2 (98% + purity, supplied by Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc.). The mass-to-charge ratios of 28, 29 and 30 m/z were monitored as a function of time to follow the process.

***Hydrogen activation process***. Hydrogen activation was studied via the H2/D2 exchange reaction. Isothermal homoexchange experiments were conducted at temperatures ranging between 100 °C to 300 °C. After the activation step, D2 (20 mbar) and H2 (20 mbar) were introduced in the system and the evolution of the partial pressures of reactants and products was monitored by mass spectrometry.

2.2.2 *In-situ* powder neutron diffraction. *In-situ* controlled atmosphere high temperature time-of-flight (ToF) PND data was collected using the high intensity Polaris diffractometer at the ISIS pulsed spallation source (Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, UK). Powder samples (ca. 1 g) were loaded into 11 mm diameter thin walled stainless steel cells and held in place between quartz glass frits to permit a flow of reaction gas to circulate through the samples during data collection. The cell assembly was mounted in a dedicated neutron diffraction furnace capable of heating it from room temperature to ∼900 °C and connected to a gas panel fitted with mass flow controllers allowing a mixture of gases to flow through the sample at controlled rates during data collection. A collimator manufactured from neutron-absorbing boron nitride ceramic placed around the cell enabled diffraction patterns to be collected in the Polaris 2θ ≈ 90° detector bank which were free of Bragg reflections from the steel walls of the cell. Rietveld refinements against PND data were performed using the General Structure Analysis System (GSAS) through the EXPGUI interface.[14](#_ENREF_14), [15](#_ENREF_15)

2.2. Density functional theory

All DFT calculations were periodic Γ-point[16](#_ENREF_16) spin-polarised obtained with the use of the VASP 5.4.1 code. [17](#_ENREF_17), [18](#_ENREF_18) Exchange and correlation effects were considered within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) using the revised Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (revPBE) exchange-correlation (XC) functional[19](#_ENREF_19), with the projector augmented-wave (PAW) method, [20](#_ENREF_20), [21](#_ENREF_21) used to represent core states. These were 1s to 3p for Mn and 1s for N and Li, respectively. Geometry optimizations were performed with a residual force threshold on each atom of 0.02 eV Å−1 using the conjugate-gradient algorithm. The convergence criterion for electronic relaxation was 10−1 eV. The initial charge density was obtained by superposition of atomic charges.Various initial adsorption configurations were considered where the adsorbate was either in side-on or in an end-on configuration and the adsorbate bond length was for N2, rN-N = 1.11 Å) and for H2, rH-H = 0.75 Å. The various adsorption sites were every symmetry unique surface site shown in Scheme 1, which resulted in 8 sites. The adsorption energy was taken as the total energy difference between the fully relaxed bound state of the surface-adsorbate complex from that of the fully relaxed surface slab and the isolated molecules given by eqn. 1,

ΔΕads, D3 = Eslab-X − Eslab − EX, (1)

where X = N2, H2 and Li. Grimme's dispersion corrections were inluded via the zero-damping DFT-D3 correction method,[22](#_ENREF_22) in which the following dispersion energy correction is added to the Kohn-Sham energies,

, (2)

where and denote the averaged (isotropic) 6th and 8th order dispersion coefficients for atom pair ij and is the internuclear distance between atoms i and j, respectively. and are the functional-dependent scaling factors. The D3 correction was invoked in calculating the adsorption energies, as it is generally known that the revPBE is unable completely recover dispersion interactions as a result of polarisation effects primarily of the transition metals (TMs).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Structural evolution of manganese nitride materials under reducing conditions: *in-situ* neutron diffraction

3.1.1 Nitride phase identification: Starting material

The neuron diffraction data collected for the manganese nitride materials are presented in Figures 1 and 2. Rietveld refinement against PND data was performed using the previously published θ-Mn6N5+x structure as the starting model. Considering that θ-Mn6N5+x exhibits an antiferromagnetic ordering of the first kind, the magnetic structure was considered as a second phase using magnetic symmetry group FC2′/m.[8](#_ENREF_8)

In the case of lithium doped materials, the crystalline structure derived from the Rietveld refinement, Figure 1, corresponded closely to the to the θ-Mn6N5 +*x* structure as described by Leineweber et al.[8](#_ENREF_8) In summary, it presented a defective-NaCl type structure with tetragonal distortion (*F*4/*mmm*).The overall details of the refinement are summarized in Table 1. In the case of the non-doped manganese nitride, the material was found to comprise two phases, being predominantly composed of θ-Mn6N5+x and to a lesser extent of η-Mn3N2 (θ-Mn6N5+x/Mn3N2 =1/0.2weight ratio) as extracted from Rietveld refinement as presented in Figure 2. In the lithium doped manganese nitride, only the θ-Mn6N5+x phase was observed indicating that the addition of lithium enhances the formation of θ-Mn6N5+x. The application of powder neutron diffraction provides a much greater insight into the phase complexity of these materials for which powder X-ray diffraction was assigned to Mn3N25.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |
| **Figure 1.** Fitted powder neutron diffraction profiles from Rietveld refinement for the 1%wt. Li/Mn6N5+x sample at ambient temperature | **Figure 2.** Fitted powder neutron diffraction profiles from Rietveld refinement for the θ-Mn6N5+x sampleat ambient temperature. |

**Table 1.** Summary of crystallographic parameters of 1%wt. Li/Mn6N5+x system derived room temperature neutron diffraction data

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Nuclear structure** | **Magnetic structure** |
| **Space group** | F4/mmm | FC2'/m' |
| **Cell parameter a/ Ǻ** | 4.2195(3) | 4.4.2195(3) |
| **Cell parameter c/ Ǻ** | 4.1514(4) | 4.1514(4) |
| **Occupancy for N site** | 1.00±0.02 |  |
| **Rp** | 0.041 |  |
| **wRp** | 0.056 |  |
| **χ2** | 1.396 |  |

3.1.2. *In-situ* neutron diffraction studies under reducing conditions

The structural evolution of both the non-doped and lithium doped manganese nitride materials during lattice nitrogen discharge was followed by *in-situ* neutron diffraction under 60 mL min−1 75 vol % H2 in Ar (BOC, 99.98%) to reproduce the conditions of lattice nitrogen reduction in chemical looping. All diffraction patterns were analysed by the Rietveld method using either θ-Mn6N5+x and/or η-Mn3N2 as starting models.

Figure 3 presents a selection of *in-situ* diffraction patterns collected at 400°C for the undoped θ-Mn6N5+x sample. Following the reaction no structural changes were apparent in both the θ-Mn6N5+x andtheη-Mn3N2 phase fractionsconfirming the thermochemical stability of manganese nitrides during the discharge reaction as had been reported earlier in the literature.[5](#_ENREF_5) Furthermore, no changes were observed upon increasing the reaction temperature to 500°C.

Figure 4 presents *in-situ* neutron diffraction of the lithium doped manganese nitride material collected at different reaction temperatures as well as at different times on stream at 400 °C. At low temperature, ≤ 200°C, no noticeable changes were detected in the sample and only the θ-Mn6N5+x phase was apparent. This observation is consistent with the low reactivity of θ-Mn6N5+x for ammonia production under reducing conditions at reaction temperatures below 300°C.[5](#_ENREF_5) However, upon increasing temperature, a linear decrease in the nitrogen occupancy was observed indicating the reduction of the θ-Mn6N5+x to proceed through a nitrogen vacancy creation pathway. However, when the concentration of nitrogen vacancies reached a critical concentration (n =0.88), the reduction of the θ-Mn6N5+x was accompanied by a structural rearrangement, resulting in two inequivalent Mn sites, the resulting structure being related to η-Mn3N2.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |
| **Figure 3.** Diffraction patterns of θ-Mn6N5.26 during the discharge reaction at 400°C. In situ measurements were conducted under 60 mL min−1 75 vol % H2 in Ar (BOC, 99.98%). Patterns collected for 10 min. | **Figure 4.** Diffraction patterns of 1%wt. Li/Mn6N5+x during the discharge reaction at 400°C. In situ measurements were conducted under 60 mL min−1 75 vol % H2 in Ar (BOC, 99.98%). Patterns collected for 10 min. |


3.2. Effect of the Li in the N2 and H2 activation process: isotopic exchange experiment

3.2.1. N2 activation process

The ability of the manganese nitride related materials to activate molecular nitrogen was studied via temperature programmed isotopic nitrogen heterolytic exchange (TPIE) in the range of 400 °C to 700 °C as presented in Figure 5. The results of the TPIE experiment, shown in Figure 5(a), indicate that, under these conditions, θ-Mn6N5+x is not able to undergo the exchange process between isotopically labelled 15N2 and surface/bulk nitrogen. However, when a similar temperature-programmed experiment was performed with the lithium doped system, a major difference in the exchange profile can be observed. The results are presented in Figure 5(b), where it can be seen that there was a significant decrease in the concentration of 15N2 as function of temperature, which is offset by the production of 14N2 and 14N15N. In parallel, an increase in the total pressure of the system occurred. The increase in the system pressure, beyond that normally expected in the closed loop due to increasing temperature, can be tentatively explained by decomposition producing 14N2 at the beginning of the process.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |
| **Figure 5.** Evolution of the nitrogen isotopomer distribution during the heterolytic TPNIE experiment on the manganese related materials. (a) θ-Mn6N5.26 and (b) 1%wt. Li/Mn6N5+x. | |

A similar exchange pattern was observed during the heterolytic isothermal nitrogen exchange experiment at 700 °C presented in Figure 6. During the exchange reaction, a significant reduction in 15N2 concentration was observed accompanied by production of 14N2 first 40 minutes of reaction whilst 14N15N was only detected following 14N2 production. Simultaneously, an increase in the system pressure was observed during the initial stage of the experiment. This suggests possible reduction of the system prior to the activation of its exchange activity. In summary, the activation process is very limited on the non-doped system. However, a pronounced effect of lithium dopant upon the nitrogen activation process is observed which may be a result of lowering of the nitrogen vacancy formation energy (NVFE) as suggested by the DFT calculations detailed later.



**Figure 6.** Evolution of the nitrogen isotopomer distribution and of the total pressure during the heterolytic isothermal nitrogen exchange experiment at 700 °C on 1%wt. Li/Mn6N5+x.

3.2.2. H2 activation process

Hydrogen activation was studied via the H2/D2 exchange reaction at 200 °C and 250 °C. The results of the exchange experiments are presented in Figure 7.

In this case of non-doped manganese nitride sample, H2/D2 exchange reaction results indicate that the exchange starts occurring only at 200°C at a very low rate and only small amount of HD was detected (Figure 7(a)). Conducting the exchange at 250°C slightly increases the exchange rate (Figure 7(b)). Hydrogen is usually expected to spontaneously dissociate on transition metal surfaces at low temperature (< 200 °C). However, absence of the H2/D2 exchange reaction on θ- Mn6N5+x at room temperature and up to 150 °C was found. In the lithium promoted system, the exchange occurred at a very low rate, at 200 °C in a very similar manner to the non-doped system (Figure 8(c)). However, at 250 °C the presence of lithium accelerated the H2/D2 equilibration

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |
| **Figure 7.** H2/D2 exchange reaction on (a) Mn6N5+x at 200 °C, (b) θ-Mn6N5+x at 250 °C, (c) 1%wt. Li/Mn6N5+x at 200 °C, (d) 1%wt. Li/Mn6N5+x at 250**°**C. | |

reaction compared to its non-doped counterpart,as can be seen from the significant increase in the concentration of HD produced (Figure 8(d)). This observation indicates, that at 250 °C, the lithium dopant lowers the barrier for hydrogen dissociation and possibly increases the number of sites where H2/D2 can adsorb dissociatively via lithium-mediated chemisorption.

3.3. DFT study of H2 and N2 adsorption on manganese nitride materials


In order to elucidate the role of Li in the reactivity of manganese nitride in ammonia synthesis, H2 and N2 activation has been studied by DFT calculations.

3.3.1. DFT study of H2 and N2 adsorption Mn6N5+x

H2 and N2 adsorption and activation has been already reported in an earlier study.[23](#_ENREF_23) Various adsorption energies were calculated in relation to N2 adsorption on the non-doped Mn6N5+x. In this study, the adsorption and the activation of N2 has been investigated on the (111), (111)’, (110) and (100) surfaces[23](#_ENREF_23) and the adsorption energies were found to range between -5 kJ mol-1 and -43 kJ mol-1 in most cases. However, a value of -120 kJ mol-1 was reported for the (111) surface. These results indicate that the adsorption of N2 is mostly molecular in the case of Mn6N5+x and only the (111) surface can effectively chemisorb nitrogen. Although the adsorption energy is very large, N≡N activation was found to be less than 4% (in terms of bond length elongation). Hydrogen adsorption was found to be exclusively molecular with adsorption energies varying between -81 and -84 kJ mol-1.

3.3.2. DFT study of H2 and N2 adsorption on η-Mn3N2

The adsorption of N2 and H2 was studied on η-Mn3N2 surfaces using periodic DFT-D3 calculations **(Table 2)**. It is interesting to note that η-Mn3N2 has a similar structure to Mn6N5+x with an ordered vacancy array (i.e. intrinsic N-vacancies) which may play in important role in N≡N activation. The adsorption and activation of nitrogen on η-Mn3N2 was studied on the (100) surface as it was identified previously as preferred surface termination. The adsorption properties were calculated at five symmetry unique sites of the (100) surface as depicted in Scheme 1and valuesare presented in Table 2.The adsorption energies of N2 were found to be very low varying between -3.6 kJ mol−1 to -48 kJ mol−1. In fact, the adsorption energy for most adsorption sites (i.e. N1, N2, N4 and N5) was low being between -3.6 and -10.8 kJ mol−1 and only reaching -48 kJ mol−1 for N2 adsorption at the Mn(2) site. In contrast with the situation for N2, H2 was found to interact more strongly with η-Mn3N2.The calculated adsorption energies ranged between -81 kJ mol-1 to -91 kJ mol-1. In this case, H2 adsorption is likely to be molecular and activated adsorption is not expected at least for the studied (100) surface. A parallel can be established with the adsorption energy we had previously reported for H2 adsorption over Ta3N5-(001), (010) and (001) surfaces which was also reported as being mainly molecular.

In summary, hydrogen was found to adsorb end-on on N (H1) and Mn(1) (H2) changing to be side-on for Mn(2) (H3). It can also adsorb end-on on a two-fold Mn bridge (H4) and a four-fold Mn hollow (H5). Nitrogen adsorbs in tilt configuration on N (N1), Mn(1) (N2) and a four-fold Mn hollow (N5). We have previously attributed the three-adsorption modes to the symmetry of the molecular orbitals closest to the highest occupied molecular orbital of N2.24 Nitrogen can also adsorb end-on a Mn atom (N3) and a Mn-Mn bridge (N4).

**Scheme 1.** N2 and H2 potential adsorption sites on the (100) η-Mn3N2 surface.

**Table 2**. Dispersion-corrected adsorption energies (ΔΕads,D3) of H2 and N2 adsorbed to the (−100)-surface of η-Mn3N2; adsorbate bond length (r(H-H), r(N-N)), nearest surface to adsorbate separation (r(S-H), r(N-H); adsorbate tilt angle (a(S-H-H), a(S-N-N)); adsorption site and % activation of adsorbate bond.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| N2 adsorption | | | | | | | | | | |
| ***bound to*** | N5 | N3 | N1 | N2 |  | N4 | N2 | N1 | N3 |  |
| ***type*** | tilt | end-on | tilt | tilt |  | end-on | end-on | tilt | end-on |  |
| ***ΔEN2, D3*** | -9.5 | -47.9 | -9.7 | -10.8 |  | -8.6 | -3.6 | -8.4 | -48.0 | kJ mol−1 |
| ***r(N-N)*** | 1.118 | 1.134 | 1.117 | 1.119 |  | 1.118 | 1.128 | 1.118 | 1.134 | Å |
| ***a(S-N-N)*** | 137 | 176 | 111 | 131 |  | 165 | 180 | 116 | 177 | ° |
| ***r(S-N)*** | 3.765 | 1.909 | 3.420 | 3.095 |  | 3.562 | 2.090 | 3.405 | 1.910 | Å |
| ***N2 activ.a*** | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | % |
| H2 adsorption | | | | | | | | | | |
| ***bound to*** | H5 | H3 | H1 | H4 |  | H4 | H3 | H1 | H3 |  |
| ***type*** | end-on | side-on | end-on | end-on |  | end-on | end-on | end-on | side-on |  |
| ***ΔEH2, D3*** | -81.0 | -81.5 | -80.4 | -80.6 |  | -80.5 | -79.9 | -80.3 | -81.4 | kJ mol−1 |
| ***r(H-H)*** | 0.752 | 0.749 | 0.749 | 0.750 |  | 0.749 | 0.748 | 0.749 | 0.749 | Å |
| ***a(S-H-H)*** | 137 | 98 | 173 | 140 |  | 152 | 176 | 173 | 97 | ° |
| ***r(S-H)*** | 3.177 | 2.791 | 2.661 | 3.014 |  | 2.948 | 2.881 | 2.646 | 2.869 | Å |
| ***H2 activ.b*** | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | % |

a Percent N2 activation is defined as [r(N2,ads) - 1.098]\*100/1.098

b Percent H2 activation is defined as [r(H2,ads) - 0.74]\*100/0.74


**3.3.3. Role of the Li in H2 and N2 activation**

The role of lithium dopant in the reactivity of manganese nitride was studied using η-Mn3N2 as the host structure. The choice of η-Mn3N2 was motivated by the results obtained from the *in situ* neutron diffraction studies detailed above for which the predominant phase at T ≥ 300°C is η-Mn3N2.


**Lithium adsorption on the η-Mn3N2 surface**


The adsorption of Li on *η*-Mn3N2 has been studied by DFT-calculations (Figure 8). Five different adsorption configurations for Li on *η*-Mn3N2 have been identified and are depicted in Scheme 2. In particular, we find that Li chemisorbs strongly (−174 kJ mol−1 to −247 kJ mol−1) on each of the eight symmetry unique adsorption sites of the (−100) surface. The adsorption of Li was found to be non-selective towards Mn or N. Therefore, Li may affect electronically both Mn and N when used as a dopant. This behaviour is in contrast with our observations for Co doped Ta3N5 for which Co was found to adsorb mostly to N-rich sites, which may be attributed to the metallic property of Co.[23](#_ENREF_23)

The adsorption energy of Li is much stronger than that of H2 or N2 as shown in Figure 8; therefore, the adsorption of the reactants for ammonia synthesis is predicted not to perturb significantly the structure of the Li-*η*-Mn3N2 phase at low temperatures. Furthermore, it was observed that Li decreases the number of unpaired electrons on the Mn atoms as indicated by changes of their effective magnetic moment. In particular, we observe a decrease by an average value of 0.9 μB indicating pairing of the lone electron of Li with the unoccupied d-orbitals of the Mn atoms. This observation suggests that the promoting effect of lithium on η-Mn3N2 is electronic in nature, which is a result of electron injection from Li into unoccupied d-states of Mn.

**Figure 8.** Dispersion-corrected (revPBE-D3) adsorption energy of Li (circle), H2 (triangle) and N2 (square) over symmetry unique adsorption sites on η-Mn3N2 Sites are depicted in scheme 2. The data of Li is accompanied by the adsorption site configuration depicted in Scheme 2. Lines connecting the data points have been drawn to guide the eye.

**Nitrogen vacancy formation energy in Li doped η-Mn3N2**

The evolution of the nitrogen vacancy formation energy (NVFE) was studied as a function of coverage of Li promoters on η-Mn3N2. The results are presented in Figure 9.

**Figure 9**. Nitrogen vacancy formation energy (NVFE) as a function of coverage of Li promoters on η-Mn3N2. Li: yellow, Mn: green and N: blue. The NVFE was calculated based on the following equation NVFE = ENvac +1/2EN2 - Eslab where ENvac is the total energy of the surface that has the nitrogen vacancy,EN2 the total energy of N2 and Eslab the total energy of the defect-free slab.

In the absence of Li, the NVFE *η*-Mn3N2 in bulk is 1.3eV which is identical to the NVFE at the (−100) surface. This indicates some degree of rehydridization of the bonding orbitals of surface-N compared to bulk-N in order to keep the NVFE of the 6-fold bond N in bulk the same as the 5 fold bound N on the surface. It has been seen earlier that there is some evidence from comparison of the band structure of bulk and surface *η*-Mn3N2 which shows an up-shift of the N *2s* band by about 0.5 eV in the slab DOS. Having examined the NVFE at two sites (i.e. NVFE-1 and NVFE-2) it was found that the location of the Li promoter with respect to the prospective N-vacancy determines the reducing effect in the NVFE (i.e. promoting effect). In particular it appears that there is a promotional effect only when the Li promoter is separated from the N, that forms the vacancy, by a Mn-Mn bond rather than a Mn atom (see θ = 0.25 in Figure 9 for the position of Li compared to NVFE-1 and NVFE-2). Intriguingly, both NVFE curves (i.e. NVFE-1 and NVFE-2) as a function of coverage show that there is an optimum surface concentration for the Li atoms so that the promoting effect is maximum, which corresponds to a coverage of θ = 0.75 and a ratio of Mn:Li surface atoms (i.e. Mnsurf:Lisurf) of 4:1. Higher Li coverage, appears to increase the NVFE and would additionally hinder the reactants to interact directly with the *η*-Mn3N2 phase. Low Li coverage results in a NVFE which is almost 1eV higher than that at θ = 0.75. As the NVFE is an indication of the energy required to remove surface N via reactions with hydrogen:

*Li-η*-Mn3N2 + 3/2 H2  Li-*η*-Mn3N2-*x* + *x* NH3,

On this basis, we expect that a Li surface concentration of Mnsurf:Lisurf = 4:1 to be specific for promoting ammonia synthesis reactions on *η*-Mn3N2.

**3.4. Integration of experimental work and computational modelling in Li doped manganese nitride materials**

The ability of manganese to activate nitrogen to form an array of nitrides with different structure and nitrogen content has attracted much interest for the development of two-stage reactant for ammonia synthesis by chemical looping. However, unless doped with Li, the reactivity of manganese nitrides was found to be limited at low temperature range. The stability of manganese nitride was clearly observed by in-situ neutron diffraction studies (Figure 3) where no changes in the structural properties of θ-Mn6N5.26 nor in η-Mn3N2 (present as a minor phase) was observed during the discharge reaction conducted using 60 mL min−1 75 vol % H2 in Ar (BOC, 99.98%). To extend the study H2 and N2 adsorption and activation have been studied using isotopic exchange and DFT modelling. The adsorption energies as evaluated using periodic DFT-D3 calculation showed that N2 only weakly interacted with η-Mn3N2 and Mn6N5.26 (with adsorption energy ranging between -3.6 kJ mol−1 to -48 kJ mol−1) ruling out an activated adsorption process. These results agree with those of nitrogen isotopic exchange where no significant N2 activation and dissociationwas observable under the reaction conditions applied. The energy relating to H2 adsorption was also calculated for the non-doped nitrides and was range between -81 kJ mol-1 and -91 kJ mol-1. Despite such stronger interaction, H2 was found to be adsorbed mainly in a molecular from. H2 isotopic exchange reaction showed as well a limited activation of H2/D2 at temperature below 250°C and only limited production of HD was observed indicating an important energy barrier for the dissociative chemisorption of H2 on manganese nitrides materials.

Li doping was found to be critical in the reactivity of manganese nitride during the discharge reaction. Doping with a low level of Li was found to enhance greatly the production of ammonia during the discharge reaction. The same positive effect was observed in the N2 and H2 isotopic exchange reactions.In-situ neutron diffraction studies have shown that the reactivity of 1%wt. Li/Mn6N5+x is accompanied by significant structural changes. The reduction process started with nitrogen vacancy creation at low temperature followed by the transformation of θ-Mn6N5.26 into η-Mn3N2 at ~ 300°C. A parallel might be established with the heterolytic TPNIE experimental results, where an increase in 14N2 concentration, and total pressure, occurred prior to the initiation of the 15N2/14N2 exchange reaction. Taking into account that the differences between the θ-Mn6N5.26 and η-Mn3N2 structure lie more in the presence of an ordered nitrogen vacancy array, the nitrogen vacancy formation energy as function of Li concentration has been calculated (Figure 9). The presence of Li was found to decrease the NVFE from 1.3 eV (in the absence of dopant, i.e. θ = 0) to 0.3 eV (θ = 0.75). Hence doping with Li enhances greatly the formation of nitrogen vacancies lowering the energy required to remove a N from the surface. Such nitrogen vacancy sites have been reported as active sites for N2 activation in the case of Co3Mo3N24, and which could explain the reactivity of doped manganese nitrides.

It is interesting to draw comparisons between the present study and previous investigations relating to manganese nitride being applied for solar ammonia synthesis upon reaction with water25 and the thermochemical synthesis of ammonia and syngas from natural gas at atmospheric pressure26. In the former investigation, the inclusion of transition metal dopants to modify the reactivity of lattice nitrogen to generate desirable process and redox properties was modelling using electronic structure theory and experimental validation was undertaken using iron doped manganese nitride.25

**Conclusion**

A combined experimental and computational modelling based study has been undertaken to provide additional understanding of the beneficial role of lithium doping in the enhanced of ammonia synthesis upon hydrogenation of manganese nitride. Powder neutron diffraction demonstrates that lithium doping enhances the phase fraction of θ-Mn6N5+x which was shown to progressively lose nitrogen as a function of temperature upon reduction yielding a structure related to η-Mn3N2. The observation of enhanced lattice nitrogen reactivity upon lithium doping was also apparent in isotopic exchange studies which also demonstrated that lithium enhanced hydrogen activation. Consistent with these experimental observations, in computational modelling studies, lithium doping is found to reduce the nitrogen vacancy formation energy which is anticipated to lead to enhanced reactivity.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the support of PRESTIGE H2020 program and the financial support of MOPGA and region haut de France research funding program.

This study was supported by EPSRC funding (EP/L026317/1, EP/L02537X/1). Via our membership of the UK's HEC Materials Chemistry Consortium, which is funded by EPSRC (EP/L000202/1), this work used the ARCHER UK National Supercomputing Service (http://www.archer.ac.uk). The authors acknowledge the use of the UCL Legion and Grace High Performance Computing Facility (Legion@UCL, Grace@UCL), and associated support services, in the completion of this work.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

1. S. Laassiri, C. D. Zeinalipour-Yazdi, C. R. A. Catlow and J. S. J. Hargreaves, *Catalysis Today*, 2017, **286**, 147-155.

2. G. E. Veitch, K. L. Bridgwood and S. V. Ley, *Organic Letters*, 2008, **10**, 3623-3625.

3. D. McKay, D. H. Gregory, J. S. J. Hargreaves, S. M. Hunter and X. Sun, *Chemical Communications*, 2007, 3051-3053.

4. I. AlShibane, A. Daisley, J. S. J. Hargreaves, A. L. Hector, S. Laassiri, J. L. Rico and R. I. Smith, *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering*, 2017, **5**, 9214-9222.

5. S. Laassiri, C. D. Zeinalipour-Yazdi, C. R. A. Catlow and J. S. Hargreaves, *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, 2018, **223**, 60-66.

6. M. G. Heidlage, E. A. Kezar, K. C. Snow and P. H. Pfromm, *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 2017, **56**, 14014-14024.

7. M. Widenmeyer, T. C. Hansen, A. Leineweber, A. Weidenkaff and R. Niewa, *Zeitschrift für anorganische und allgemeine Chemie*, 2017, **643**, 1929-1938.

8. A. Leineweber, R. Niewa, H. Jacobs and W. Kockelmann, *Journal of Materials Chemistry*, 2000, **10**, 2827-2834.

9. R. Michalsky, A. M. Avram, B. A. Peterson, P. H. Pfromm and A. A. Peterson, *Chemical Science*, 2015, **6**, 3965-3974.

10. P. Wang, F. Chang, W. Gao, J. Guo, G. Wu, T. He and P. Chen, *Nature Chemistry*, 2016, **9**, 64.

11. R. Schlögl, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 2003, **42**, 2004-2008.

12. A. Miura, T. Takei and N. Kumada, *Inorganic Chemistry*, 2013, **52**, 11787-11791.

13. S. Laassiri, N. Bion, D. Duprez, S. Royer and H. Alamdari, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 2014, **16**, 4050-4060.

14. B. Toby, *Journal of Applied Crystallography*, 2001, **34**, 210-213.

15. A.C. Larson and R. B. V. Dreele, Los Alamos National Laboratory, 2000, 86-748.

16. H. J. Monkhorst and J. D. Pack, *Physical Review B*, 1976, **13**, 5188-5192.

17. G. Kresse and J. Hafner, *Physical Review B*, 1993, **47**, 558-561.

18. G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, *Physical Review B*, 1996, **54**, 11169-11186.

19. J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, *Physical Review Letters*, 1996, **77**, 3865-3868.

20. G. Kresse and D. Joubert, *Physical Review B*, 1999, **59**, 1758-1775.

21. P. E. Blöchl, *Physical Review B*, 1994, **50**, 17953-17979.

22. S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich and H. Krieg, *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 2010, **132**, 154104.

23. C. D. Zeinalipour-Yazdi, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 2019, **21**, 19365-19377.

24. C. D. Zeinalipour-Yazdi, J. S. J. Hargreaves and C. R. A. Catlow, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 2015, **119**, 28368-28376.

25. R.Michalsky, P. H. Pfromm and A. Steinfeld, I*nterface Focus*, 2015, **5**, 20140084.

26. M. G. Heidlage, E. A. Kezar, K. C. Snow and P. H. Pfromm, *Industrial Engineering Chemistry Research,* 2017, **56**, 14014-14024.